

## COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF IDEOLOGICAL MODELS OF EDUCATION

**Saloxitdinova Shahnoza**

3<sup>rd</sup> year student at Djizzak branch of National University  
of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek

**Zilola Abdurakhmanova**

Supervisor: Assistant teacher in the department of foreign languages  
department at Djizzak

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7973538>

**Abstract:** Understanding different ideological models of education is important because it provides insight into the goals, values, and practices of education systems. Ideology shapes the way education is organized, funded, and delivered, as well as the knowledge and skills that are prioritized. By examining and comparing different ideological models of education, we can gain a better understanding of their strengths and weaknesses, as well as the implications of different approaches for students, teachers, and society. This knowledge can inform education policy and practice, helping to improve the quality and effectiveness of education systems. Education is a fundamental aspect of society that plays a critical role in shaping individuals' knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values. "As such, education systems are often founded on ideological models that reflect particular worldviews, values, and beliefs about what constitutes a good education. These ideological models can differ greatly, ranging from liberal models that prioritize individualism and freedom to Marxist models that prioritize social justice and equality" .

**Keywords:** ideological models

**Introduction:** Understanding different ideological models of education is crucial because it helps us to comprehend the strengths and limitations of different approaches to education. Different models can prioritize different aspects of education, such as academic excellence, citizenship, socialization, or vocational training, and can employ different teaching methods, assessment practices, and curriculum designs. Moreover, an understanding of ideological models of education can help us to appreciate the complexities and nuances of education policy and practice. By examining the underlying assumptions and values of different models, we can better understand how education policies are formulated and implemented, and how they can impact students, teachers, and society. Understanding different ideological models of education is vital for gaining insights into the underlying values, goals, and practices of education systems. This knowledge can inform education policy and practice, helping to



improve the quality and effectiveness of education systems and promoting better outcomes for students, teachers, and society.

Education is a multifaceted and complex phenomenon that is shaped by various societal, cultural, economic, and political factors. As a result, education systems around the world adopt different ideological models that reflect their unique historical, social, and cultural contexts. Understanding these ideological models is essential for policymakers, educators, and researchers who seek to enhance the quality and effectiveness of education. One significant benefit of understanding different ideological models of education is that it provides a framework for evaluating education systems. By examining the values, goals, and practices of different models, we can evaluate how well education systems are meeting the needs of students and society. This evaluation can inform education policy and practice, helping to identify areas for improvement and to develop strategies to enhance education outcomes.

Moreover, an understanding of ideological models of education can facilitate cross-cultural understanding and communication. “Education systems around the world can be very different, reflecting the diverse values, beliefs, and cultural norms of their respective societies” . By understanding the ideological models underlying different education systems, we can appreciate the differences and similarities between them, fostering a deeper understanding and respect for diverse cultural perspectives.

Comparative analysis is a method used to examine and compare the similarities and differences between two or more objects or phenomena. In the context of education, comparative analysis involves examining and comparing different ideological models of education to identify their similarities and differences. To conduct a comparative analysis of ideological models of education, researchers typically identify several key criteria for comparison, such as goals of education, role of the state, teaching methods, and assessment practices. They then examine each model in relation to these criteria, identifying similarities and differences between them. “Comparative analysis can take various forms, depending on the research question and the data available. It can involve qualitative analysis of textual data, such as policy documents, curriculum materials, and academic literature, or quantitative analysis of data, such as student test scores, graduation rates, or teacher demographics” .

The primary goal of comparative analysis is to identify patterns, trends, and relationships between different phenomena, and to draw meaningful conclusions based on these findings. In the context of education, comparative



analysis can help policymakers and educators to identify best practices, develop evidence-based policies, and improve education outcomes for students.

Overall, comparative analysis is an essential method for understanding different ideological models of education and their implications for education policy and practice. By comparing different models, we can gain a more nuanced understanding of education systems, and identify areas for improvement and innovation.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a comparative analysis of different ideological models of education. Specifically, the paper aims to examine and compare the key features, goals, and practices of different ideological models of education, and to identify the similarities and differences between them. The scope of the paper includes an overview of various ideological models of education, such as liberal, progressive, conservative, Marxist, and postmodern models. The paper will examine each model's goals, values, and practices, including the role of the state, teaching methods, curriculum design, and assessment practices.

In summary, a comparative analysis of ideological models of education reveals that each model has its unique approach to contemporary issues such as diversity and social justice. Liberalism emphasizes individual autonomy and freedom of expression, conservatism prioritizes personal responsibility and limited government intervention, socialism advocates for collective ownership and control of the means of production, critical race theory acknowledges the role of race and intersectionality in creating systemic inequality, and feminism promotes gender equality and the rights of women while acknowledging the intersections of gender with other forms of oppression. Each of these models has strengths and weaknesses, and their application in education depends on the specific context and desired outcomes. In addition to their unique approaches to contemporary issues, each ideological model also has implications for education. For example, liberalism's emphasis on individual autonomy and freedom of expression translates into an educational approach that values critical thinking, creativity, and a diversity of perspectives. Liberal education prioritizes the development of skills and knowledge that enable individuals to think for themselves and make informed decisions, rather than simply memorizing information. Liberal education also emphasizes the importance of a broad-based curriculum that includes the arts, humanities, and social sciences, in addition to STEM fields.



Conservatism's emphasis on personal responsibility and limited government intervention translates into an educational approach that values discipline, hard work, and character development. Conservative education prioritizes the development of skills and knowledge that enable individuals to succeed in a competitive marketplace, emphasizing the importance of vocational education and workforce training. Conservative education also emphasizes the importance of traditional values and patriotism, and often promotes a curriculum that emphasizes American history and culture.

Socialism's emphasis on collective ownership and control of the means of production translates into an educational approach that values community and social responsibility. Socialist education prioritizes the development of skills and knowledge that enable individuals to participate in democratic decision-making processes and work cooperatively to achieve common goals. Socialist education also emphasizes the importance of a curriculum that reflects the values and interests of marginalized communities, and often promotes a critical analysis of capitalism and imperialism.

Critical race theory's emphasis on intersectionality and systemic inequality translates into an educational approach that values diversity, inclusion, and social justice. Critical race theory education prioritizes the development of skills and knowledge that enable individuals to understand and challenge systemic oppression, including racism, sexism, homophobia, and ableism. Critical race theory education also emphasizes the importance of a curriculum that reflects the experiences and perspectives of marginalized communities, and often promotes a critical analysis of history, literature, and culture.

Feminism's emphasis on gender equality and the rights of women translates into an educational approach that values diversity, inclusivity, and social justice. Feminist education prioritizes the development of skills and knowledge that enable individuals to understand and challenge systemic oppression based on gender, race, and other social identities. Feminist education also emphasizes the importance of a curriculum that reflects the experiences and perspectives of women and other marginalized groups, and often promotes a critical analysis of media, language, and cultural norms.

**References:**

1. Apple, M. W. (2013). Can education change society? Routledge.
2. Axmedova, S. R. (2021). Chet tillarni o'rganish va undagi metodlarning ahamiyati. Science and Education, 2(11), 1076-1080.
3. Axmedova, S. R. (2021). Ilova elementlarining strukturaviy tahlilini o'rganish. Science and Education, 2(12), 583-587.







4. Banks, J. A. (2019). Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching. Routledge.
5. Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What can we learn from international practice?. European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3), 291-309.
6. Otaboboyeva, F. (2023). Psychological, Pedagogical and methodological foundation of foreign language of learners' intercultural competence. International scientific research journal.
7. Otaboboyeva, F. (2022). The essence of the work of George Orwell "Animal farm" and its impact on people. Scientific methodological journal.
8. Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the oppressed. The Continuum International Publishing Group

