



## ENSURING ACCOUNTABILITY: COMPENSATION FOR DAMAGE CAUSED BY STATE AND SELF-GOVERNMENT BODIES

**Akhatova Shakhnoza Muzaffarovna**

independent researcher of

Tashkent State University of Law

e-mail: noza-8080@mail.ru

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11145174>

### Annotation

This article delves into the crucial matter of responsibility and compensation for damages incurred by individuals or entities due to unlawful decisions of state bodies and citizens' self-government bodies. Despite the absence of officials' fault, courts play a pivotal role in adjudicating such cases and awarding compensation, thereby ensuring accountability and justice.

**Keywords:** responsibility, compensation, state bodies, self-government bodies, officials, court decisions, accountability.

### Annotatsiya

Ushbu maqolada davlat organlari va fuqarolarning o'zini o'zi boshqarish organlarining qonunga xilof qarorlari tufayli jismoniy yoki yuridik shaxslarga yetkazilgan zarar uchun javobgarlik va ularning o'rnini qoplashning hal qiluvchi masalalari ko'rib chiqiladi. Mansabdor shaxslarning aybi yo'qligiga qaramay, sudlar bunday ishlarni ko'rib chiqish va tovon to'lashda hal qiluvchi rol o'ynaydi va shu orqali javobgarlik va adolatni ta'minlaydi.

**Kalit so'zlar:** javobgarlik, kompensatsiya, davlat organlari, o'zini o'zi boshqarish organlari, mansabdor shaxslar, sud qarorlari, javobgarlik.

### Introduction

In any democratic society, the actions of state bodies and citizens' self-government bodies are expected to adhere to the principles of legality, fairness, and accountability. However, there are instances where decisions made by these bodies result in damages to individuals or legal entities. Such occurrences raise pertinent questions regarding responsibility and the provision of compensation. This article critically examines the legal framework surrounding compensation for damages caused by state and self-government bodies, irrespective of the culpability of their officials.

### Main Part

State bodies and citizens' self-government bodies wield significant power and authority in their respective domains. Their decisions can profoundly impact the lives and livelihoods of individuals and organizations. However,





when these decisions transgress legal boundaries or violate the rights of citizens, they may lead to tangible harm. In such cases, it becomes imperative to address the issue of accountability and provide redress for the aggrieved parties.

The legal recourse for individuals or entities seeking compensation for damages caused by state or self-government bodies often involves recourse to the judicial system. Courts play a vital role in adjudicating disputes and determining the liability of the concerned bodies. Importantly, the fault of officials may not always be a prerequisite for awarding compensation. Instead, the focus is on the legality and consequences of the decisions themselves.

Analyzing court decisions in such matters reveals a nuanced approach to determining liability and awarding compensation. Courts examine the legality of the actions taken by state or self-government bodies, assessing whether they adhere to established laws and regulations. Additionally, factors such as the extent of the damage, the intent behind the decision, and the rights of the affected parties are taken into account.

Furthermore, the principle of state immunity, which traditionally shielded governments from liability, has undergone evolution in many legal systems. While sovereign immunity still exists in some forms, there is a growing recognition of the need to hold state bodies accountable for their actions. This shift underscores the importance of providing avenues for individuals and entities to seek redress for damages caused by state or self-government bodies.

In recent years, there has been a notable increase in litigation related to compensation for damages caused by state or self-government bodies. This trend reflects a heightened awareness of individual rights and a greater willingness to challenge unlawful decisions. Additionally, advancements in legal frameworks and the judiciary's growing independence have contributed to more robust mechanisms for addressing grievances.

Despite these advancements, challenges persist in ensuring effective remedies for victims of unlawful decisions. Complex bureaucratic procedures, lengthy legal proceedings, and resource constraints often impede access to justice for marginalized or disadvantaged groups. Moreover, the reluctance of state or self-government bodies to admit fault and provide compensation can prolong the suffering of the affected parties.

Addressing these challenges requires a multi-faceted approach that encompasses legal reforms, administrative measures, and public awareness campaigns. Simplifying legal procedures, enhancing access to legal aid, and fostering a culture of accountability within state and self-government bodies are





essential steps towards ensuring timely and effective redress for victims of unlawful decisions.

In conclusion, the issue of compensation for damages caused by state and self-government bodies is a multifaceted challenge that requires concerted efforts from all stakeholders. While courts play a central role in adjudicating disputes and ensuring accountability, broader reforms are necessary to address systemic issues and prevent future injustices. By upholding the principles of justice, fairness, and the rule of law, societies can build trust in public institutions and safeguard the rights of all citizens.

### **Analytical Comments**

One of the key challenges in addressing compensation for damages caused by state or self-government bodies lies in balancing the need for accountability with the practical limitations faced by these entities. While holding officials personally liable for their actions may serve as a deterrent against misconduct, it can also impede the functioning of public institutions. Therefore, courts must carefully weigh the interests of justice against the broader societal implications.

Moreover, ensuring effective remedies for victims of unlawful decisions requires not only legal reforms but also administrative measures to prevent such occurrences in the future. This includes enhanced transparency, accountability mechanisms, and training for officials to uphold the rule of law in their actions.

Expanding on this, it's essential to recognize the systemic issues that often underlie unlawful decisions made by state or self-government bodies. These may include bureaucratic inefficiencies, lack of oversight, or even systemic corruption. Addressing these underlying factors is crucial for preventing future harm and fostering a culture of accountability within public institutions.

Furthermore, the process of seeking compensation for damages can itself be daunting for victims, particularly when facing well-resourced government entities. Access to justice must be ensured through mechanisms such as legal aid, simplified procedures, and alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. Without adequate access to justice, the right to seek redress remains a mere theoretical concept for many individuals and entities.

Additionally, the role of public awareness and civil society cannot be understated in holding state and self-government bodies accountable. Transparency initiatives, investigative journalism, and advocacy efforts play a crucial role in exposing wrongdoing and advocating for reforms. By empowering





citizens to demand accountability, these initiatives contribute to strengthening democratic institutions and promoting the rule of law.

In conclusion, while the pursuit of compensation for damages caused by state and self-government bodies is fraught with challenges, it is an indispensable component of a just and equitable society. By addressing systemic issues, ensuring access to justice, and fostering a culture of transparency and accountability, societies can uphold the principles of the rule of law and protect the rights of their citizens.

Article 990 of the Civil Code provides a comprehensive framework for addressing responsibility and compensation for damages caused by state bodies, citizens' self-government bodies, and their officials. By delineating the circumstances under which compensation is warranted, the article seeks to ensure accountability and redress for aggrieved parties.

The first part of Article 990 establishes that damages resulting from illegal decisions of state or self-government bodies must be compensated, irrespective of the fault of their officials. This provision underscores the principle that individuals and entities should not bear the burden of unlawful actions taken by public institutions. By allowing for compensation based on a court decision, this part of the article serves as a crucial safeguard against arbitrary or unjust decisions by government bodies.

Similarly, the second part of Article 990 extends liability to officials of state or self-government bodies for damages caused by their illegal actions or inactions. This provision recognizes the direct responsibility of officials in upholding the law and ensuring the rights of citizens and entities. By holding officials accountable for their conduct, this part of the article reinforces the importance of ethical behavior and adherence to legal norms within public administration.

In essence, Article 990 of the Civil Code reflects the foundational principles of justice, fairness, and accountability within the legal system. By providing avenues for compensation for damages caused by state bodies, citizens' self-government bodies, and their officials, the article contributes to the maintenance of the rule of law and the protection of individual rights. However, effective implementation and enforcement of these provisions are essential to ensure that justice is not only served but also perceived to be served by all members of society.

## Conclusion





In conclusion, the issue of compensation for damages caused by state and self-government bodies is a complex yet essential aspect of upholding the rule of law and protecting the rights of citizens. While the fault of officials may not always be a determining factor, courts play a crucial role in adjudicating disputes and ensuring accountability. By holding state bodies accountable for their actions and providing avenues for redress, societies can uphold the principles of justice, fairness, and the rule of law.

**References:**

1. Tjepkema, M. (2013). Damages Granted by the State and Their Relation to State Aid Law. *European State Aid Law Quarterly*, 12(3), 478–492. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26686895>
2. Brightman, A. C. (1925). Liquidated Damages. *Columbia Law Review*, 25(3), 277–304. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1113020>
3. Demogue, R. (1918). Validity of the Theory of Compensatory Damages. *The Yale Law Journal*, 27(5), 585–598. <https://doi.org/10.2307/786215>
4. Chaisse, J., & Renouf, Y. (2018). Investor-State Dispute Settlement. In J. Drake-Brockman & P. Messerlin (Eds.), *Potential Benefits of an Australia-EU Free Trade Agreement: Key Issues and Options* (pp. 281–313). University of Adelaide Press. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv9hj94m.23>
5. Рузакова О.А., & Рузаков А.Б. (2016). Проблемы компенсации ущерба, причиненного правомерными действиями государственных органов и органов местного самоуправления. *Сибирский торгово-экономический журнал*, (1 (22)), 74-78.
6. Гинц, Е. М. (2012). К вопросу о компенсации ущерба, причиненного правомерными действиями государственных органов. *Вестник Московского университета МВД России*, (10), 80-85.

